## Calculation of the gender equality index

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { calculable } \\ \text { indicator } \\ (1=\text { yes, } 0=\text { no }) \end{gathered}$ | indicator value | points obtained | maximum numbre of points of the indicator | maximum number of points for calculable indicators |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1- compensation gap (in \%) | 1 | 6,3 | 33 | 40 | 40 |
| 2- Différence in individual increases (in \% points) | 1 | 1,1 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 3 - promotion différences (in \% points) | 1 | 2 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 4- percentage of employees with a raise after returning from maternity leave (\%) | 1 | 100 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 5 - number of employees of the underrepresented gender on the 10 highest compensations | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 10 |
| Total calculable indicators |  |  | 88 |  | 100 |
| INDEX (out of 100 points) |  |  | 88 |  | 100 |

## 1 - compensation gap indicator

| Socioprofessional category (SPC) | age range | avera compen e | annual full-time t) | average pay gap | difference after application of the relevance threshold | number of employees |  | validity of the group (1=yes, $0=n o$ ) | valid staff | weighted variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | women | men |  |  | women | men |  |  |  |
| workers | under 30 years old |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0,00\% |
|  | 30 to 39 years old | 23318 | 24319 | 4,1\% | 0,0\% | 12 | 10 | 1 | 22 | 0,00\% |
|  | 40 to 49 years old |  |  |  |  | 27 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0,00\% |
|  | 50 years and older |  |  |  |  | 38 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0,00\% |
| employees \& technicians | under 30 years old |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0,00\% |
|  | 30 to 39 years old | 28857 | 29404 | 1,9\% | 0,0\% | 13 | 5 | 1 | 18 | 0,00\% |
|  | 40 to 49 years old | 28693 | 28978 | 1,0\% | 0,0\% | 5 | 6 | 1 | 11 | 0,00\% |
|  | 50 years and older | 29113 | 32555 | 10,6\% | 5,6\% | 13 | 3 | 1 | 16 | 0,60\% |
| supervisors | under 30 years old |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0,00\% |
|  | 30 to 39 years old |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0,00\% |
|  | 40 to 49 years old |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0,00\% |
|  | 50 years and older |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0,00\% |
| engineers and managers | under 30 years old |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0,00\% |
|  | 30 to 39 years old | 39076 | 43024 | 9,2\% | 4,2\% | 6 | 10 | 1 | 16 | 0,45\% |
|  | 40 to 49 years old | 53100 | 62696 | 15,3\% | 10,3\% | 10 | 34 | 1 | 44 | 3,04\% |
|  | 50 years and older | 57913 | 72437 | 20,1\% | 15,1\% | 5 | 17 | 1 | 22 | 2,22\% |
| all employees |  | 16057 | 46407 | 65,4\% |  | 235 |  |  | 149 | 6,31\% |

calculable indicator ( $1=y e s, 0=n o$ ) : promotion rate gap indicator (points of \%) :

1
6,3

The valid workforce represents more than $40 \%$ of the total workforce.
There is a pay gap in favor of men.

## 2 - individual increase rate differential indicator

| Socio-professional category (SPC) | rate of increase employees with | proportion of an increase) | difference in rate of increase | number of employees |  | validity of the group (1=yes, $0=n o$ ) | valid staff | weighted variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | femmes | hommes |  | women | men |  |  |  |
| workers | 78,2\% | 50,0\% | -28,2\% | 78 | 14 | 1 | 92 | -11,43\% |
| employees \& technicians | 76,5\% | 80,0\% | 3,5\% | 34 | 15 | 1 | 49 | 0,76\% |
| supervisors |  |  | 0,0\% | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0,00\% |
| engineers and managers | 47,8\% | 73,0\% | 25,2\% | 23 | 63 | 1 | 86 | 9,54\% |
| all employees | 70,5\% | 67,7\% | -2,8\% | 235 |  |  | 227 | -1,13\% |

* Only individual increases in base salary are to be taken into account, when they do not correspond to promotions.
calculable indicator (1=yes, $0=n o$ ) :
promotion rate gap indicator (points of \%) : score obtained out of 20 :

1 There were no increases in the company.
1,1 Un écart d'augmentations est constaté en faveur des femmes.
20 L'écart d'augmentations réduit l'écart de rémunération. Tous les points sont accordés.

## 3- promotion rate gap indicator

| Socio-professional category (SPC) | promotion rate (proportion of employees promoted) |  | promotion rate gap | number of employees |  | validity of the group (1=yes, $0=n o$ ) | valid staff | weighted variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | femmes | hommes |  | women | men |  |  |  |
| workers | 21,8\% | 50,0\% | 28,2\% | 78 | 14 | 1 | 92 | 11,43\% |
| employees \& technicians | 20,6\% | 20,0\% | -0,6\% | 34 | 15 | 1 | 49 | -0,13\% |
| supervisors |  |  | 0,0\% | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0,00\% |
| engineers and managers | 43,5\% | 19,0\% | -24,4\% | 23 | 63 | 1 | 86 | -9,26\% |
| all employees | 24,5\% | 22,9\% | -1,5\% | 235 |  |  | 227 | 2,05\% |

calculable indicator (1=yes, $0=n o$ ) : promotion rate gap indicator (points of \%) : score obtained out of 15 :

There have been promotions and the valid workforce represents over 40\% of the total workforce. There is a promotion gap in favor of women.
The promotion gap reduces the pay gap. All points are awarded.

## 4- percentage of employees who received a raise in the year following their return from maternity

 leave|  | number of employees returning from maternity/adoption leave*. |  | percentage of employees with raises |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | total | employees with raises |  |
| all employees | 2 | 2 | 100\% |
| calculable indicator (1=yes, 0=no) : 1 |  |  | There was at least one return from maternity leave with an increase during that leave. |
| indicator of percentage a raise in the year follow maternity leave (\%) | s who rece urn from | 100 |  |
| score obtained out of 15 |  | 15 | All employees $r$ were increased. |

## 5- number of the undeer- represented gender in th 10 highest compensations

|  | Number of employees among the 10 <br> highest erners |  | number of employees <br> of the under- <br> represented gender |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | femmes | hommes | ensemble |  |
| all employes | 2 | 8 | 10 | 2 |


\section*{in the $\mathbf{1 0}$ highest earnersIndicator of the} number of employees of the under-represented | gender | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| score obtained out of 10 | 5 | 5

